

# Liquid Staking PoW

## *Tokenizing Bitcoin's Mining Power into a Liquid Yield Instrument*

**Author:** Aaron Tolentino — *Concept Draft for Discussion*

**Date:** 16 June 2025

### Abstract

Imagine if every kilowatt of energy spent mining Bitcoin could be represented by a digital token — a claim on computational work that remains liquid, tradable, and composable within decentralized finance.

This paper introduces **Liquid Staking PoW**, a conceptual framework inspired by the liquid staking mechanisms used in Proof of Stake (PoS) blockchains, but reimagined for the Proof of Work (PoW) ecosystem.

Unlike PoS, where staking rewards are natively programmable, PoW operates through physical energy and external costs. This proposal explores how those energy-based rewards could be abstracted and tokenized — creating liquidity, new financial primitives, and a bridge between mining and DeFi.

## 1. Background — What Is Liquid Staking?

In Proof of Stake networks, users lock tokens to secure the network and receive rewards over time.

**Liquid staking** enables participants to maintain that exposure while receiving a derivative token (e.g., **stETH** on Ethereum) that can be used across DeFi protocols, creating both yield and liquidity.

**Liquid Staking PoW** seeks to achieve a parallel effect in a non-native environment: representing the *right to future mining rewards* as an on-chain, transferable, and composable token.

## 2. Concept Overview

Liquid Staking PoW is the **tokenization of a miner's future revenue or hashrate capacity**. Instead of waiting for block rewards to mature, miners can issue a cryptographic token representing their mining power or expected yield.

This token can then be traded, lent, or used as collateral — effectively transforming *hashrate into a liquid asset*.

### 3. Mechanism Design

#### 3.1. Tokenized Hashrate

- A miner tokenizes a portion of their active hashrate (e.g., 100 TH/s).
- A smart contract issues an ERC-20 token (e.g., **tTH100**) representing that hashrate for a fixed duration (7, 15, or 30 days).

#### 3.2. Right to Future Income

- Holders of **tTH100** are entitled to the Bitcoin mined by that capacity during the token's lifetime.
- Upon expiration, the token is burned or invalidated.

#### 3.3. Smart Vault or Pool

- A *smart vault* receives the mined BTC and distributes proportional rewards to token holders.

#### 3.4. Secondary Market

- Tokens can be traded on decentralized exchanges, allowing miners to gain **immediate liquidity** without waiting for future block confirmations.

### 4. Practical Example

Suppose two operators, Aaron and Bob, jointly manage 1 PH/s of mining capacity. They decide to tokenize **300 TH/s** for a period of 15 days:

- 300 tokens (**tHash15d**) are issued.
- These are sold to users or locked as collateral.
- After 15 days, each token has produced approximately **0.002 BTC**.
- The smart contract automatically distributes BTC to each token holder.

This system effectively **separates ownership of hashrate from ownership of output**, enabling composable market structures similar to staking derivatives in PoS.

### 5. Economic Implications and Opportunities

**Benefits:**

- Miners obtain liquidity upfront.
- Investors gain BTC exposure without owning hardware.
- Hashrate becomes a collateralizable, tradable commodity.
- A secondary market for *future hashrate* emerges.

**Use Cases:**

- DeFi integrations for mining-backed yield instruments.
- Collateral systems and on-chain lending.
- Speculative markets on future mining performance.

## 6. Risks and Limitations

- **Volatility:** Mining difficulty and BTC price fluctuations.
- **Trust:** Miners must maintain promised operational power.
- **Custody:** Requires secure handling of mined BTC or escrow.
- **Complexity:** Cross-chain interactions (BTC ↔ EVM) introduce technical risk.
- **Verification:** Proof of operational hashrate is non-trivial without external validation or oracle design.

## 7. Related Models and Precedents

While Liquid Staking PoW has not been implemented as a standard, several initiatives resemble aspects of this idea:

### 1. Hashrate Marketplaces (e.g., NiceHash):

- Allow temporary renting of hashrate.
- However, these markets are off-chain and lack tokenization or DeFi liquidity.

### 2. Cloud Mining Certificates:

- Some providers issue digital contracts representing hashpower.

- These are centralized and non-transferable in DeFi environments.

### 3. Tokenized Mining Securities (e.g., Blockstream Mining Note):

- Blockstream issued a security token on the Liquid Network representing mining revenue participation.
- A regulated instrument, not a decentralized token model.

## 8. Technical Challenges

1. Bridging BTC to programmable environments (Rootstock, Stacks, or other L2s).
2. On-chain verification of physical hashrate commitments.
3. Designing vaults that can hold and distribute BTC yield securely.
4. Creating market demand and liquidity for mining derivatives.

## 9. Vision and Next Steps

Liquid Staking PoW proposes a new mental model for how *energy, computation, and liquidity* could coexist in the Bitcoin economy.

The next step would involve building a **prototype (MVP)** through systems such as **Spoon Mining Pool**, leveraging hybrid contracts capable of recording BTC inflows and issuing mirrored ERC-20 assets.

From there, DeFi primitives could emerge — vaults, lending pools, and futures — all collateralized by *proof of work itself*.

## 10. Conclusion

Liquid Staking PoW represents a conceptual bridge between Bitcoin's energy-based security model and the liquidity-driven mechanisms of DeFi.

It is both a thought experiment and a potential design path: one that transforms *hashrate* into an asset class, *miners* into liquidity providers, and *energy expenditure* into programmable yield.

The idea remains open for research, experimentation, and community collaboration.